UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,332	04/21/2008	Toshiyuki Hamada	81880.0136	4213
73230 DLA PIPER US	7590 11/26/201 ¹ S LLP	EXAMINER		
	OF THE STARS	GROUP, KARL E		
SUITE 400 LOS ANGELE	S, CA 90067-6023		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/26/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/566,332	HAMADA, TOSHIYUKI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Karl E. Group	1731		

	Karl E. Group	1/31						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 November 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the control of the).							
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended of the sum of the su	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			cause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con	•	E below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	luaina ar aimhlifuina th	no inquien for					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appear by materially rec	lucing of simplifying ti	ie issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims						
NOTE: Claim 19 in view of the terminology "less th			efor does not					
further limit the scope of claim 17. (See 37 CFR 1.		mount of the and then	cror doco mot					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			/-					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alle	•	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s).	ovanie ii dazimilioa iii a deparate, t	intery mod antionamor	it samesing the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov		be entered and an ex	xplanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	• •							
Claim(s) allowed: <u>17,18 and 21-24</u> .								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>19 and 20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	before or on the date of filing a No	tice of Appeal will n ot	be entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
	/Karl E Group/							
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1731						
	i fillary Examiner, Art O	11101						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The translation portion of the Notification of Reasons for Refusal does not describe Japanese document 63-210068 (which was the document that was crossed off the PTO 1449) but describes Ref.1 which is Japanese document 2001-181042. Furthermore, Japanese document 63-210068 is drawn to a carbide body not a yttria body as so described. Either applicants have the wrong description or the Japanese document is incorrect.

Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

...